## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Clear Form

William Grahar	n,	
		CASE NO. 11-CV-4085 TEH
	Plaintiff(s),	
	V. Benefit Plan - NonBargained T, Inc.; and Does 1-10, Defendant(s).	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	nsel report that they have met and pulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 1	d conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 6-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties a	agree to participate in the followi	ng ADR process:
(Note: Particappreciably ADR phone ADR Phone	more likely to meet their needs to	E) (ADR L.R. 5)  Tement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR, must participate in an form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
The parties a	referring the case to an ADR p	r: e deadline is 90 days from the date of the order process unless otherwise ordered.)
Dated: 11/14/	2011	/s/ Karen Phillips
		Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 11/14/	2011	/s/ M'Alyssa C. Mecenas
<u>-</u>		Attorney for Defendant

## Case 3:11-cv-04085-TEH Document 16 Filed 11/16/11 Page 2 of 2

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

Dated: 11/15/2011

		- 1
	Pursu	ant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:
		Non-binding Arbitration
	$\blacksquare$	Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
		Mediation
		Private ADR
	Deadl	ine for ADR session
	$\blacksquare$	90 days from the date of this order.
		other
T IS S	SO OR	DERED.

ATDGE

DISTRICT
Judge Thelton E. Henderson